

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,290	12/12/2003	Warren Keith Edwards	D/A3420	4296	
35700 CASCADIA I	7590 10/27/201 NTELLECTUAL PROI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 UNION STREET			YAARY, MICHAEL D		
SUITE 1005 SEATTLE, W	A 98101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2193			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/736,290	EDWARDS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL YAARY	2193	

	MICHAEL YAARY	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		26/a) and the appropriat	o ovtonoion foo
Laterisations of white many De business under 37 or 11, 130(4). The days have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	liance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
 They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 			
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ir submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See continuation sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	P10/58/08) Paper No(s)		
/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./			

Applicant argues that Brewer does not teach testing, by an installation predicate object, the hardware and software components of a requesting system upon which the installation predicate object is executed. Examiner respectfully disagrees, when taken in combination the references of Schmidt, Brewer, and Chase teach the claimed limitations. More specifically Brewer, as cited in the rejection below, teaches of a checking mechanism to receive an installation predicate object comprising code from the service host system. Column 20, lines 34-52; column 21, lines 15-5; column 21, lines 56-67; and fig. 5 disclose a host and network sever where code is used in determining whether sufficient resources are present, thus testing the requesting system including hardware and software components. The instant independent claims do not further recite limitations on the testing done by the checking mechanism and therefore the prior art teaches the claimed limitations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL YAARY whose telephone number is (571)270-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9 a.m.-5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock can be reached on 571-272-3759. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (tN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 2193